Received: 11/ 7/02 12:37; -> FHFGD; Page 4 KEKER & VAN NEST 12:32 FAX 415 397 7188 11/07/02

2004/007

PRIGINAL

NOV 0 6 2002 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP JOHN W. KEKER - #49092 MICHAEL H. PAGE - #154913 2 710 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1704 Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188 3 RECEIVED INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION NOV - 4 2002 5 DOUGLAS K. DERWIN - #111407 RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA MARK SCADINA - #173103 JEFF MCDOW - #184727 4800 Patrick Henry Drive 7 ÖAKLAND Santa Clara, CA 95054 Telephone: (408) 855-0100 Facsimile: (408) 855-0144 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant 9 INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION 10 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 14 Case No. C 01-1640 SBA (ME)) INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES 15 CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, Consolidated with C 02-0647 SBA 16 Plaintiff. [PROPOSED] FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER 17 18 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation, Plaintiff's for and are directed to serve this 19 order upon purdes in this action. Defendant 20 21 AND COUNTER ACTION. **22** 23 On October 31, 2002, this Court conducted a further telephonic Case Management 24 Conference. Pursuant to that conference, the Court ORDERS: 25 SELECTION OF CLAIMS TO BE CONSTRUED 26 The following twelve claims have been selected to be the subject of a "mini-Markman" 27 and "indefiniteness" hearing: 28

301151,01

[PROPOSED] FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER CASE NO. C 01-1640 SBA (MEJ), CONSOLIDATED WITH C 02-0647 SBA

- II		
1	Patent No. 6,253,193 B1:	Claims 1, 11, 15 & 19
2	Patent No. 6,157,721:	Claims 1 & 34
3	Patent No. 5,917,912:	Claims 8 & 35
4	Patent No. 5,920,861:	Claim 58
5	Patent No. 5,982,891:	Claim 1
6	Patent No. 6,185,683 B1:	Claim 2
ż	Patent No. 5,892,900:	Claim 155
8		SCHEDULE
•	(•

The Court adopts the following schedule:

10		Date
11	Patent L.R. 4-1 exchange of proposed terms and claim elements for	11/08/02
12	construction for the Tweive Selected line Track I	12/20/02
13	extrinsic evidence for the I weive Selected Interior	1/17/03
14 15	Last day for parties to meet and confer for the purpose of preparing joint claim construction statement for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims	1/1//03
16 17	Parties to file Patent L.R. 4-3 joint claim construction statement for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims	1/31/03
18	Further Telephonic Case Management Conference (regarding format and length of Markman hearing and briefing)	2/13/03 2:30 p.m.
19 20	Completion of claim construction discovery pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-4 for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims (other than depositions of declarants)	2/28/03
21 22	File and serve opening claim construction brief(s) pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-5(a) for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims	3/17/03
23 24	File and serve Motion(s) for Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims) on issue of indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112(2)	3/17/03
25	File and serve opposition brief(s) pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-5(b) for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims	4/7/03
26 27	File and serve Opposition to any Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims) on issue of indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112(2)	
28		

9

Received: 11/ 7/02 12:37; -> FHFGD; Page 6

11/07/02 12:32 FAX 415 397 7188

KEKER & VAN NEST

2006/007

A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			Date	
File and serve reply claim cons	milital Trief(s) pursuant to P	atent L.R.	4/21/03	
4-5(c) for the 1 more	Tuesday Tuesday	imment (for	4/21/03	
one or more of the under 35 U.S	S.C. § 112(2)			
Patent L.R. 4-6 claim construct	ction hearing (and, possibly, to InterTrust Patent Claims and N or one or more of the Twelve to issue of indefiniteness under 3		May 12, 29, & 30, 2003	
Further Case Management Co	onference		45 days after Ruling(s)	
	OTHER MATTERS DISC	USSED		
OTHER MATTERS DISCUSSED The Court defers consideration of the format of the Markman and/or indefiniteness				
The Court defers cons	sideration of the format of the	nary 13 2007	Further Case	
hearing, and of the length and number of briefs, to the February 13, 2003 Further Case				
Management Conference. The parties shall address these issues in their Further Case				
Managernent Conference. The	he parties shall address these t	SSUES III GIED		
Management Conference. The Management Conference Sta		sues in their		
	nement.			
Management Conference Sta	ntement.	Lines	tun	
Management Conference Sta	002	Arris	Armstrong	
Management Conference Sta	002	Aims	Armstrong	
Management Conference Sta	002	Arris	Armstrong	
Management Conference Sta	002	Arris	Armstrong	
Management Conference Sta	002	Arris	Armstrong	
Management Conference Sta	002	Arris	Armstrong	
Management Conference Sta	002	Arris	Armstrong	
Management Conference Sta	002	Arris	Armstrong	
Management Conference Sta	002	Arris	Armstrong	
Management Conference Sta	002	Arris	Armstrong	
Management Conference Sta	002	Arris	Armstrong	

12:32 FAX 415 397 7188

KEKER & VAN NEST

2007/007

PROOF OF SERVICE

1 I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the following service was made. I am over the 2 age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Keker & Van Nest, LLP, 710 Sansome Street, San Francisco, California 94111. 3

On November 7, 2002, I served the following document(s):

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

by FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION (IKON) AND UNITED STATES MAIL, by placing a true and correct copy with IKON Office Solutions, the firm's in-house facsimile transmission center provider, for transmission on this date. The transmission was reported as complete and without error. A true and correct copy of same was placed in a sealed envelope addressed as shown below. I am readily familiar with the practice of Keker & Van Nest, LLP for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing. According to that practice, items are deposited with the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am aware that, on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or the postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing stated in this affidavit.

11

13

14

15

16

10

4

5

6

7

8

9

Ø

Eric L Wesenberg, Esq. Mark R. Weinstein, Esq. Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe 1000 Marsh Road Menlo I'ark, CA 94025 650/614-7400 Telephone:

650/614-7401 Facsimile:

John D. Vandenberg, Esq. James E. Geringer, Esq. Kristin L. Cleveland, Esq. Klarquist Sparkman Campbell, et al. One World Trade Center, Suite 1600 121 S.W. Salmon Street Portland OR 97204

503/226-7391 Telephone: 503/228-9446 Facsimile:

17 18

19 20 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on November 7, 2002, at San Francisco, California.

23

21 22

> 24 25

26 27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE MED CONSOLIDATED WITH C 02-0647 SBA